United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 07-1	359
United States,	*	
Appellee,	*	Appeal from the United States District Court for the
V.	*	District of Nebraska.
Drew Brockevelt,	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
Appellant.	*	
	Submitted: Dec Filed: Janu	ember 28, 2007 pary 10, 2008 (Corrected: 01/11/200

Filed: January 10, 2008 (Corrected: 01/11/2008)

Before MURPHY, SMITH, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Drew Brockevelt challenges the reasonableness of the 27-month prison sentence the district court imposed after he pleaded guilty to charges of (1) possessing materials, shipped or transported in interstate commerce, which contained visual depictions of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B); and (2) criminal forfeiture of a computer and other property involved in the commission of the offense, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2253. For reversal, Brockevelt argues the district court improperly applied a presumption of reasonableness to his advisory Guidelines imprisonment range, contrary to the principles in United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), as further explained in

Appellate Case: 07-1359 Page: 1 Date Filed: 01/11/2008 Entry ID: 3390969

<u>Rita v. United States</u>, 127 S. Ct. 2456, 2465 (2007), which was issued after Brockevelt's sentencing hearing.

Because it is unclear from the record whether or not the district court applied a presumption of reasonableness to Brockevelt's correctly calculated advisory Guidelines imprisonment range, we vacate the sentence and remand for resentencing in light of Rita, 127 S. Ct. at 2465 (presumption of reasonableness accorded to sentences reflecting proper applications of Guidelines applies only on appellate review, not at sentencing). See United States v. Burns, 500 F.3d 756, 761 n.1 (8th Cir. 2007) (en banc) (following Rita, presumption of reasonableness exists only at appellate level), petition for cert. filed, (U.S. Nov. 20, 2007) (No. 07-7805).

Brockevelt's sentence is vacated, and the case is remanded for resentencing in accordance with this opinion.

-2-